





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,735	01/16/2001	Fredy Scheifele	01-110	9751	
75	590 12/03/2002				
Gregory P. LaPointe BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			EXAMINER		
			MOY, JOSEPH MAN		
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
	_	09/759,7	735	SCHEIFELE, FREDY	
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	
		Joseph I		3727	
The	e MAILING DATE of this communi	L	•	l l	
Period for Re	ply				
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 I for reply is specified above, the maximum statingly within the set or extended period for reply to ceived by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evanication.) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply buttery minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for plication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>18 September</u>	- 2002 .		
		tb)⊠ This action is			
clos	ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the practi	for allowance excep	ot for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of	m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	nligation			
	, ,	•	. maidanatian		
	Of the above claim(s) is/ard	e withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
·	m(s) is/are allowed.				
	m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
	m(s) is/are objected to.	:			
Application P	n(s) are subject to restrict apers	ion and/or election i	equirement.		
9)□ The s	pecification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) <u></u> The d	lrawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Арр	olicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) <u></u> The p	roposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)	pproved b)⊡ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
If ap	oproved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this O	ffice action.		
12) <u></u> The o	ath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Ackn	nowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.	Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have bee	en received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have bee	en received in Applic	ation No	
3. <u>□</u> * See th	Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internate attached detailed Office action	itional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application	1).
_a) 🔲 🏾	The translation of the foreign lang whedgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional ap	pplication has been r	eceived.	,
1) Notice of Re 2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) caftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and Trademark PTO-326 (Rev. 04-0	Office 11)	Office Action Summa	ıry	Part of Paper No. 8	

Serial Number: 09/759735

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maines in view of Valyi. Maines shows all the structures of the device as recited by the claims except the specific thickness of the partition. It would have been obvious to make the thickness of the partition of Maines greater than the sidewall of the container with any desired dimension as taught by Valyi in order to enhance the handling process.

The remarks filed 09/18/02 have been considered. However they are in moot in view of the new rejection with the new reference.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group at (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing e.g. copies of references cited, from PTO-1449, form PTO-892., etc requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Date: 11/29/2002

Primary Examiner